

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

**ENTERED**

March 30, 2018

David J. Bradley, Clerk

SARAH LEIGH CASTREJON,  
Plaintiff,

v.

SOCIAL SECURITY  
ADMINISTRATION,  
Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:17-CV-132

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**


On December 19, 2017, United States Magistrate b. Janice Ellington issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation” that the Commissioner’s decision be affirmed. D.E. 13. The parties were provided proper notice and an opportunity to object to the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Plaintiff did not file objections. The Court has reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 13), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Court **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner’s decision and

dismisses Castrejon's claims. The Clerk shall enter this order and provide a copy to all parties.

It is so ORDERED this 29 day of March, 2018.

  
HAYDEN HEAD  
SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE